

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/11/2002

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/914,743	1	08/19/1997	MICHAEL KENNETH CERRETA	6586R	9009
27752	7590	02/11/2002			
THE PROC	CTER & (	GAMBLE CON	EXAMINER		
	E TECH	NICAL CENTER	WHITE, EVERETT NMN		
5299 SPRING GROVE AVENUE CINCINNATI, OH 45217				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			1623	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	08/914,743	CERRETA ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	EVERETT WHITE	1623				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 24 January 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
<ul> <li>1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>24 January 2002</u>. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.</li> <li>2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:</li> </ul>						
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE:						
$3. \boxtimes$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s): <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19 and 41-54</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 20-40 and						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap  a) disap  a) disap  a) disap	proved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	- Short				
S. Patent and Trademark Office		GARY GEIST SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECH CENTER 1600				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)

**Advisory Action** 

Part of Paper No. 22

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 008/914,743.

•,2

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The rejection of Claims 1-20 and 41-54 under 35 USC 112, first paragraph.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: because of the reasons already of record in the previously filed Office Actions in the rejection of Claims 1-19 and 41-54 under 35 U.S.C. 103. The rejection of Claims 1-19 and 41-54 under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over the Elsen et al patent is maintained.